Turnitin Originality Report

Processed on: 21-Mar-2019 21:45 +07

ID: 1097239663 Word Count: 4583 Submitted: 1

9%

Similarity Index

Similarity by Source

Internet Sources:
Publications:
Student Papers:

6% 2% 5%

Students' Personal Initiative towards their Speaking Performance By Nihta V. F. Liando

1% match (Internet from 10-Oct-2018)

http://kurnia-srg.blogspot.com/2012/

1% match (student papers from 13-Dec-2018)

Submitted to University of South Alabama on 2018-12-13

1% match (Internet from 04-Sep-2018)

https://tracyharringtonatkinson.com/otium-an-acronym-for-learning/

1% match (student papers from 15-Feb-2018)

Submitted to Universitas Negeri Semarang on 2018-02-15

1% match (student papers from 05-Jun-2015)

Submitted to Midlands State University on 2015-06-05

1% match (student papers from 31-Oct-2018)

Submitted to Southwestern Baptist Theological Seminary on 2018-10-31

< 1% match (student papers from 08-Mar-2018)

Submitted to Western Governors University on 2018-03-08

< 1% match (Internet from 03-Jun-2016)

http://www.studymode.com/essays/Correlation-Analysis-830714.html

< 1% match (publications)

Sanjaya, Dedi. "Speech Acts Strategies of "Refusing" by Indonesian in France Language", Asian Social Science, 2015.

< 1% match (student papers from 18-Aug-2012)

Submitted to University of Hull on 2012-08-18

< 1% match (Internet from 20-May-2018)

https://tsukuba.repo.nii.ac.jp/index.php?

action=pages view main&active action=repository action common download&attribute id=17&block id=83&file no=2&item id=20705&item no=1&pages view main&active action=repository action common download&attribute id=17&block id=83&file no=2&item id=20705&item no=1&pages view main&active action=repository action common download&attribute id=17&block id=83&file no=2&item id=20705&item no=1&pages view main&active action=repository action common download&attribute id=17&block id=83&file no=2&item id=20705&item no=1&pages view main&active action=repository action common download&attribute id=17&block id=83&file no=2&item id=20705&item no=1&pages view main&active action=repository action common download&attribute id=17&block id=83&file no=2&item id=20705&item no=1&pages view main&active action=repository action common download&attribute id=17&block id=83&file no=2&item id=20705&item no=1&pages view main&active action=repository action common download&attribute id=17&block id=83&file no=2&item id=20705&item no=1&pages view main&active action=repository action=repositor=repository action=repositor=repositor=repositor=repositor=repositor=repos

< 1% match (publications)

Manal Mohamed Khodary. "Using the Vocabulary Self-Collection Strategy Plus to Develop University EFL Students' Vocabulary Learning", English Language Teaching, 2017

< 1% match (student papers from 14-Nov-2018)

Submitted to Western Governors University on 2018-11-14

< 1% match (student papers from 22-Jun-2017)

Submitted to University of Exeter on 2017-06-22

< 1% match (Internet from 13-Feb-2019)

https://docplayer.net/57050605-Human-resource-management-model-to-create-superior-performance.html

< 1% match (Internet from 29-Sep-2017)

http://mental.jmir.org/article/download/mental_v4i1e8/2

< 1% match (publications)

David A. Miles. "chapter 2 Autonomous Learning as a Transformative Experience", IGI Global, 2019

< 1% match (Internet from 18-Mar-2019)

http://repository.uin-malang.ac.id/832/7/832.pdf

< 1% match (Internet from 08-Mar-2019)

https://scholarworks.gsu.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1002&context=msit_diss&httpsredir=1&referer=

< 1% match (Internet from 09-Oct-2018)

https://www.scribd.com/document/371638581/bba-202

< 1% match (Internet from 14-Mar-2014)

http://aprehet.org/IMAGES/PDF/VOLUME%204%20ARPIL%202013.pdf

< 1% match (Internet from 17-Feb-2019)

https://es.scribd.com/document/360118234/Jurnal-Widyadari-Nomor-21-Tahun-XVII-April-2017

< 1% match (Internet from 28-Dec-2017)

http://clok.uclan.ac.uk/21053/1/21053%20Ameer%20Sundus%20Final%20e-Thesis%20%28Master%20Copy%29.pdf

```
International Education Studies; Vol. 10, No. 8; 2017 ISSN 1913-9020 E-ISSN 1913-9039 Published by Canadian Center of
Science and Education Students' Personal Initiative towards their Speaking Performance Nihta V. F. Liando1 & Raesita Lumettu1 1
Faculty of Languages and Arts, Universitas Negeri Manado, Indonesia Correspondence: Nihta V. F. Liando, Faculty of Languages
and Arts, Universitas Negeri Manado, Indonesia. E-mail: nihtaliando@unima.ac.id Received: December 20, 2016 Accepted: April 5,
2017 Online Published: July 29, 2017 doi:10.5539/ ies.v10n8p21 URL: https://doi.org/10.5539/ ies.v10n8p21 Abstract This
research aims at finding out students' personal initiative towards their achievement in speaking English. This research was
<u>conducted in</u> an <u>English department</u> at a <u>university</u> in North Sulawesi Indonesia. The data were obtained from the sixth semester
students in English Language and Literature study program of academic year 2015/2016 consisting of 21 students. In obtaining
the data about students' personal initiative, a questionnaire was distributed, and for the speaking performance, the data were
obtained from students' scores in Public Speaking subject. To find out the relation between these two variables, <u>Pearson's Product</u>
Moment Correlation Coefficient formula was used. The result of this research shows that there is a correlation between students'
personal initiative towards their speaking performance with the value of ??? = (0.52) categorized as a moderate correlation. Based
on the findings, it can be concluded that personal initiative of students was important to be considered as one of several
determination factors for students' achievement in English speaking skill. It is suggested that students encourage themselves for
taking initiative to speak, and for the teacher to give the students correction and suggestion to help them develop themselves.
Keywords: students' personal initiative, speaking performance, university, English as a foreign language (EFL) 1. Introduction
Language is a means to communicate with each other. As a tool of communication, language is an essential part in human life. It
is used to share experiences and express feelings and ideas. Without language, people would find problems in sharing information,
interacting with others, showing sympathies and clarifying important cases. In the context of Indonesia, English is considered as
one of the foreign languages that is compulsory in schools. It is taught from junior high school up to university level. To master a
language there are four skills; writing, reading, listening, and speaking that people should learn. These four skills cannot be
separated. If people want to learn and master a language, they must consider all skills, not only one or two skills. Thus, having
good performance in speaking English is important for learners, not merely a goal in learning English. When the learners and
teachers have a good performance in speaking English, they can easily share the information. On the other hand, materials
prepared taught should aim at increasing students' speaking ability day by day. One university in North Sulawesi has developed
English as a proficiency subject. It has an English Department which has more than 1000 students. Most of the students in English
department have learned English from junior high to senior high schools. This means that they have already learned this language
for at least 6 years. Based on the experience and the observation, the researcher has found out that even though the students
have already learned the structure of good English sentences, known the vocabulary and practiced speaking in classroom, there
are still many students having problem with good speaking performance because of lack of personal initiative to improve their
speaking ability. In teaching learning process, the personal initiative of the students has an important role as it may affect the
progress of the students in applying the theory that they had learned into an active action. Ponton (2000) cited by Myartawan,
Latief, and Suharmanto (2013) stated "initiative refers to behavioral intentions of a learner to create goals and work toward the
attainment of the goals, to quickly translate the intention to learn into actual learning activities, to continuously pursue learning
regardless the obstacles without necessarily waiting for someone to develop solution for him/her, and to self-start learning
activities and their related processes such as setting goals and planning". Freese and Fay (2000) stated that there are three
aspects that need to be considered in personal initiatives. They are: 1) Self-starting implies that an individual pursues a goal
without having been explicitly told to do so. Furthermore, the goal pursued goes beyond the formal requirements of the job and
beyond the explicit work role. 2) 3) Proactive implies the development of self-starting goals. Proactive means that not waiting until
one must respond to a demand. Persistent is often necessary to attain the goal. Persistent is often necessary to attain the goal.
Someone may have self-starting in action and proactive in doing the task, but if he cannot do it persistently, it may make the goal
came into wide range and do not focus on the real goal. These three aspects cannot be separated each other as they are united in
the person's personal initiative. Ponton (2000) also defined the theoretical construct of personal initiative in autonomous learning
as a behavioral syndrome consisting of the following five behaviors: 1) Goal-directedness means that people doing things based on
a goal or specific goal determined by themselves. It refers to the conation of establishing learning goals and working towards their
accomplishment (Ponton, 2000). 2) Action-orientation refers to an action plan that people construct to pursue the goal that they
already established. In learning process, when the students have desired level to be achieved they also consider to think about the
steps and their planning how to accomplish the goal in the best way and it will transform in their learning activity. 3) Self-
startedness. Ponton (2000) further defined self-startedness as the behavior of motivating oneself to begin a learning activity,
occurs when the student is able to identify desired outcomes, create goals, develop plans, and work toward goal accomplishment
independently. 4) Active-approach to problem solving. The ability of learners to perceive that opportunity, time, and urgency are
present is predicated upon their active-approach to problem solving. An active – approach refers to how people taking the
responsibility making strategies to solve problems that may impede to accomplish the goal (Ponton, 2000). Darkenwald and
Valentine in Ponton (2000) stated "the deterrents to learning may come in many forms some of which are a lack of resources (i.e.,
lack of opportunity), time constraints (i.e., lack of time), and a low priority of learning as compared to other activities (i.e., lack of
urgency)". Students with an active-approach syndrome also realize their weaknesses through learning process and having realistic
plan how to minimize and handle it. 5) Persistence in overcoming obstacles. Persistence as an initiative behavior related to the
continuation for act through every condition. Persistence needed by students in teaching learning process for having responsibility
for each plan that they already arrange and for the overcoming obstacles. Dian (2009) stated "classroom activities are limited by
time, while the classroom activities focus on the cognitive aspect in English". In English teaching and learning process it is a need
for the students to take initiative for developing their skills. Particularly in speaking, it is an English skill that should be improved
constantly by the students. Mastering the grammar structure and knowing the vocabulary of English will be more beneficial if it can
be applied in speaking performance. Speaking performance is defined as actual instances of producing oral language in real time
(McNamara, 1996). By this research, the researchers intend to find out how personal initiative of the students is towards their
speaking English performance. The study is limited to the investigation of the relation between the students' personal initiative
towards their speaking performance especially to the English Language and Literature students in the sixth semester in academic
year 2013/2014. This research is done to answer the following research question: How is the relationship between students'
personal initiative and their speaking performance? 2. Research Method The research data were analyzed by using correlation
analysis. Sharma (2005, p. 1) pointed "the correlation analysis refers to the techniques used in measuring the closeness of the
relationship between the variables". Ary, Jacobs, and Rahaviel (1979) asserted that "correlation research attempts to determine
whether, and to what degree a relationship exist between two or more quantifiable variables. The purpose of a correlational study
may be to establish relationship (or lack of it) or to use relationships in making predictions". The population of this research is all
the English Language and Literature students in the sixth semester in an English department at one university in North Sulawesi
Indonesia for the academic year 2013/2014. The sample of this research was taken from the students in the sixth semester A class
and B class for the academic year 2015/2016 that consist of 21 students. The instrument of this study is questionnaire to assess
students' personal initiative. Seliger and Shohamy (1989) argued that guestionnaire is printed forms for data collection, which
include questions or statements to which the subject is expected to respond. The questionnaire consists of 15 questions arranging
by considering with some aspects of initiative behavior that adopted from Freese and Fay (2000) for indicator number 1, 3 & 5 and
for indicator number 2 & 4 adapted from Ponton (2000) as follow: No Indicators Items 1. Self-starting 1, 2, 3 2 Action –
orientation 4,5,6 3 Persistent 7,8,9 4 Active-approach in problem solving 10,11,12 5 Proactive 13,14,15 There are five categories
that were used in the questionnaire by <u>using Likert-scale</u>: Strongly Agree (SA), Agree (A), Undecided (U), Disagree (D), and
Strongly Disagree (SD). For scoring the questionnaire, the result ranged from 0 (Strongly Disagree) to 4 (Strongly Agree) for
each item. Thus, for collecting the data for their speaking performance, the researcher took the students public speaking scores
from the lecturer. The procedure in analyzing the data in this research as follows: 1) 2) Counting the data from the questionnaires
that use to assess students' personal initiative as X, ??, and XY Counting the data of students' speaking performance through their
```

```
scores in public speaking as Y, ??, and XY 3) Counting two data using the formula of correlation product moment to find out how
students' personal initiative towards their speaking performance. Students' speaking performance data were their Public Speaking
Subject scores taken from the lecturer responsible for the subject. 3. Findings and Discussions The data to assess the students'
personal initiative were collected through questionnaire consists of 15 items constructed by using five aspects of personal initiative.
The data collection of the questionnaire was presented in Table 1 as follow: Table 1. Student's personal initiative raw data No
Students' Number of Statements Sum Average Initial 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 1 ES 3 4 3 4 3 4 3 1 3 2 4 3 2 2 2 43
2.86 2 SR 3 4 2 3 3 4 3 3 3 4 3 3 2 4 3 47 3.13 3 RS 3 3 3 3 4 2 3 3 3 4 4 1 3 2 44 2.9 4 IL 3 3 3 3 3 3 2 2 3 3 3 3 2 2 3 4 1
2.73 5 SK 3 3 2 4 3 4 2 3 3 3 3 4 2 3 3 45 3 6 BM 4 4 3 4 3 3 2 2 3 3 2 4 2 3 3 45 3 7 VK 3 3 2 3 4 4 2 2 3 2 4 4 2 2 3 43 2.86 8
LM 4 3 4 4 4 3 3 4 4 3 4 4 3 4 3 54 3.6 9 MS 3 2 4 4 4 4 3 3 4 4 4 4 3 4 4 54 3.6 10 MR 4 4 2 3 3 4 3 2 3 2 3 3 2 2 3 43 2.86 11
DL 4 3 3 4 3 4 3 4 3 4 3 4 3 4 3 52 3.46 12 RP 3 4 3 4 3 3 4 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 8 3.2 13 FN 3 4 4 4 4 4 3 4 4 4 4 3 3 3 3 54 3.6 14
JT 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 60 4 15 SS 4 3 3 4 2 4 2 2 3 4 4 4 4 3 3 49 3.26 16 CL 3 4 4 3 3 4 2 3 2 3 3 3 1 3 2 43 2.86 17
ST 4 4 4 4 4 3 2 3 3 4 4 4 3 4 3 53 3.53 18 AL 3 4 4 3 4 4 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 4 9 3.26 19 JT 3 3 3 3 2 4 3 2 3 3 4 4 3 4 3 4 3 4 7 3.13 20
MK 3 4 3 3 3 4 4 3 3 3 4 4 3 3 3 50 3.3 21 CD 4 3 4 4 4 4 3 3 4 3 3 3 3 51 3.4 Sum 67.54 Average 3.21 An addition to the
data collection for the research, Table 2 was put to show the students' scores in Public Speaking subject that researcher obtained
from the Public Speaking lecturer. Table 2. Students' speaking performance No Students' Initial Score 1 ES 2 2 SR 2 3 RS 2.16 4 IL
2 5 SK 2.16 6 BM 2.56 7 VK 3.24 8 LM 3.04 9 MS 3 10 MR 3.68 11 DL 2.48 12 RP 2.28 13 FN 3.6 14 JT 3.56 15 SS 3.44 16 CL 2.5
17 ST 3.36 18 AL 3.48 19 JT 3.04 20 MK 2.84 21 CD 3.12 Sum 59.54 Average 2.83 The result of analysis of students' personal
initiative scale and their speaking performance scores were put into Table 3 below in which the student's personal initiative was
denoted by X, and the speaking performance scores of the students were denoted by Y. Table 3. Analysis of students' personal
initiative scale and their speaking performance scores No Students' initial X Y ?? ? ??) ? ?? ?? ?? ?? ?? ?? 1 ES 2.86 2 -0.35 -0.83
0.12 0.69 0.29 2 SR 3.13 2 -0.08 -0.83 0.007 0.69 0.07 3 RS 2.9 2.16 -0.31 -0.67 0.09 0.45 0.21 4 IL 2.73 2 -0.48 -0.83 0.23
0.69 0.40 5 SK 3 2.16 -0.21 -0.67 0.04 0.45 0.14 6 BM 3 2.56 -0.21 -0.27 0.04 0.07 0.05 7 VK 2.86 3.24 -0.35 0.40 0.12 0.16
-0.14 8 LM 3.6 3.04 0.38 0.20 0.14 0.04 0.07 9 MS 3.6 3 0.38 0.16 0.14 0.027 0.06 10 MR 2.86 3.68 -0.35 0.84 0.12 0.71 -0.30
11 DL 3.46 2.48 0.24 -0.35 0.05 0.12 -0.08 12 RP 3.2 2.28 -0.01 -0.55 0.0002 0.30 0.008 13 FN 3.6 3.6 0.38 0.76 0.14 0.58
0.29 14 JT 4 3.56 0.78 0.72 0.61 0.52 0.56 15 SS 3.26 3.44 0.04 0.60 0.001 0.36 0.02 16 CL 2.86 2.5 -0.35 -0.33 0.12 0.11 0.11
17 ST 3.53 3.36 0.31 0.52 0.09 0.27 0.16 18 AL 3.26 3.48 0.04 0.64 0.001 0.41 0.02 19 JT 3.13 3.04 -0.08 0.20 0.007 0.04
-0.01 20 MK 3.3 2.84 0.08 0.004 0.007 2.27 0.0003 21 CD 3.4 3.12 0.18 0.28 0.03 0.08 0.05 Sum 67.54 59.54 -7.54 3.55 2.21
6.86 2.04 Average 3.21 2.83 -3.59 1.69 0.10 0.32 0.18 The data that have been collected were calculated using Pearson's
coefficient of correlation. To determine the level of the correlation coefficient from the finding result whether it was categorized as
strong, moderate, or weak the interpretation of correlation coefficient from Sugiyonoo (2013, p. 257) was used. The result of the
correlation coefficient was 0.52 classified as moderate correlation coefficient. This means that there wwas a correlation between
students' personal initiative towards their speaking performance. To find out the significant correlation of the result whether it
could be generalized for the population (all the students in the sixth semester in English Language and Literature) or not, it was
necessary to test the significant correlation of the result. The result of the correlation coefficient of students' personal initiative
towards their speaking performancee was put in the formula to find out the significant of correlation coefficient. The value of
tcount, has to be compared with the value of ttable. For testing the significant correlation coefficient with the level of significance 5
% uusing two tail test and freedom of deferential = n - 2 = 19, the value of ttable was given = 2.093 (see Figure 1). Figure 1. The
testing significant correlation coefficient using two tail test Based on the result and as shown by Figure 1 above, it could be seen
that tcount was in the rejected area of ??, and the statement that there was no significant correlation between students' personal
initiative towards their speaking performance was rejected, and the alternative hypothesis (??) was accepted. Thus, it could be
stated that the correlation coefficient of students' personal initiative towards their speaking performance 0.52 was considered
significant. These findings bear some important things to be discussed. The discussions are divided into two parts. The first
discusses the result of Students' Personal Initiative Scale, and the second part discusses the correlation coefficient between
students' personal initiative towards their speaking performance. 3.1 The Result of Students' Personal Initiative Questionnaires A.
Self-starting aspect For assessing this aspect, 3 items were given to the students to be answered. Based on their responses, it was
found that most of the students dominantly have self-starting behavior. It can be seen from the result for the item number 1 up to
number 3. The students have dominantly positive responses to these statements where for item #1: 8 students responded
strongly agree Strongly Agree, and 13 students responded Agree, for item #2: 11 students responded Strongly Agree, 9 students
responded Agree, and only 1 student responded Undecided (U), and for item #3, there were 8 students responded Strongly
Agree, 9 students responded Agree, and 4 students responded Undecided (U). B. Action-orientation The second indicator "action-
orientation" consisting of 3 items (number 4, 5 and 6) showed that the majority of students responded that they have positive
action-orientation aspect during learning English. Item #4: 12 students responded Strongly Agree, and 9 students responded
Agree. For item #5 the result showed that 8 students responded Strongly Agree, 11 students responded Agree and only 2 students
responded Undecided. Item #6 showed: 16 students responded Strongly Agree and the rest 5 students responded Agree. This
means the majority of students have already constructed an action plan to accomplish the goal in learning English, and it has been
reflected in their learning activity. C. Persistence Persistence was put as the third indicator. The items of this indicator were the
item #7 up to #9. From 21 students, the responses for item #7 were: 2 students responded Strongly Agree, where 10 students
responded Agree, and 8 students responded Undecided. Item #8: 3 students responded Strongly Agree, 11 students responded
Agree, and 6 students responded Undecided and 1 student responded Disagree. For the last item from this indicator #9, the
students' responses were: 6 students responded Strongly Agree, 14 students responded Agree, and 1 student responded
Undecided. Compared to the two indicators discussed above, the result for this aspect showed that the students dominantly
answer Undecided to Agree which indicated that they may find difficulties in the continuous activity to develop their speaking. They
seem to be less persistent. D. Active-approach in problem solving The result of this indicator indicated positive responses, where
the students dominantly responded agree for each item on this indicator. This indicator consists of 3 items from item number 10
until item number 12. For item # 10, 6 students responded Strongly Agree, 12 students responded Agree and 3 students
responded Undecided. The result for item #11, there were 12 students responded Strongly Agree with the statement, 8 students
responded Agree, and only 1 student responded Undecided. For item #12, there was 12 students responded Strongly Agree, and 9
students responded Agree. This result shows students have active – approach in solving problem they encounter. E. Proactive The
last indicator "proactive" consists of 3 items (13-15). From item #13, there was 2 students responded Strongly Agree, 10 students
responded Agree, where 7 students responded Undecided and 2 students responded Disagree with the statement. The result of
item #14: 7 students responded Strongly Agree, 11 students responded Agree, whereas 3 students responded Undecided. For the
last item #15: only 2 students responded Strongly Agree, 15 students responded Agree and 4 students responded Undecided. The
overall result for this last indicator showed that the students dominantly agree with each statement from this indicator, however
there were some students who responded Undecided and Disagree. This indicated that not all students think that they have been
proactive or giving more participation during the learning process. This might be caused by some internal or external of the
students which need further research. 3.2 The Correlation Coefficient between Students' Personal Initiative towards their Speaking
Performance Based on the result the personal initiative of the students in A and B classes in English Language and Literature
program, the score was high in scale with the average of 3.21. In mathematics, decimal number 3.21 can be simply categorized in
re?r??s?howed that the students in A and B classes in ? ? ? ? English Language and Literature program also have good speaking
performance with the average 2.83 where in mathematics can be simply categorized in 3 decimal number showed as:
the scores of their public??? speaking were in the same scale: 3. This means between their personal initiative and their scores in
public speaking subject were balanced. To prove that there was a relation between these two variables the formula of Pearson's
Correlation Coefficient was used in analyzing the data collection. The result showed that there was a correlation between students'
personal initiative towards their speaking performance with the value of rcount (0.52) categorized in moderate level based on the
```

Interpretation Correlation Coefficient table (Sugiyono, 2013). It proved that the personal initiative of sixth semester students in A and B classes in English Language and Literature academic year 2015/2016 have contribution and give positive implication towards their achievement in speaking English. When they have high personal initiative towards learning English, they also achieve good score in public speaking subject that they followed. The result of both tests with the rtable (product moment) correlation coefficient for level significant a = 5% and sample = n = 21 showed that the correlation coefficient was significant. This means that all the sixth semester students in English Language and Literature for academic year 2015/2016 have the same chances for having good speaking performance if they use their personal initiative to develop their speaking. 4. Conclusion and Suggestions The result of this research proved that there was a correlation between the students' personal initiative towards their speaking performance and it was categorized as Moderate Correlation Coefficient. Personal initiative of the student was important to be considered as one of determination factors to the achievement of the student in learning English. Personal initiative leads the students to encourage themselves to start doing things that can improve themselves, having action plan, their construct focused on the goal and doing action oriented to the goal, did not give up with the obstacles that might be found but trying to find out the solution creatively and being persistent in doing the act. It was suggested that students must encourage themselves for taking initiative, being active whether in inside or outside the classroom. Also, the students have to be persistent in doing action-oriented to the goal of English learning. Furthermore, even though they have already determined the goal and made planning to achieve the goal, but if they do not do the planning continuously they might be giving up when they face obstacles. Finally, for those who are English lecturers, it is better to help the students when they try to be active in teaching and learning process by giving them correction and suggestion and constructive criticism that can help them develop themselves. References Ary, D., Jacobs, L. Ch., & Rahaviel, A. (1979). Introduction to research in education (2nd ed.). New York: Holt Reinhart and Winston. Dian, A. (2009). A gap between the competence and performance (The learner's ability in speaking). State Islamic Jakarta University: Indonesia. Freese, M., & Fay, D. (2000). Self-starting behavior at work: Toward a theory of personal initiative. Motivational psychology of human development. Hatch, E., & Farhady, H. (1982). Research design and statistics for Applied Linguistics. United States of America: Newbury House Publisher. McNamara, T. (1996). Measuring second language performance. Essex, U.K.: Addison Wesley Longman Limited. Myartawan, P., Latief, M., & Suharmanto. (2013). The correlation between learner autonomy and English proficiency of Indonesian EFL college learners. TEFLIN Journal, 24(1). Ponton. (2000). Understanding and promoting autonomy in self-directed learning. Current Research in Social Psychology Volume 5. Retrieved from http://www.uiowa.edu/~grpproc/crisp/crisp.5.19.htm Seliger, H. W., & Shohamy, E. (1989). Second language research methods. Oxford: Oxford University Press. Sharma, A. K. (2005). Textbook of correlations and regression. New Delhi, India: Discovery Publishing House. Sugiyono. (2013). Metode penelitian pendidikan (Pendekatan kuantitatif, kualitatif, dan R & D). Bandung: Alfabeta, CV. Copyrights Copyright for this article is retained by the author(s), with first publication rights granted to the journal. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). ies .ccsenet.org International Education Studies Vol. 10, No. 8; 2017 ies .ccsenet.org International Education Studies Vol. 10, No. 8; 2017 ies <u>.ccsenet.org</u> International Education Studies Vol. 10, No. 8; 2017 ies <u>.ccsenet.org</u> International Education Studies Vol. 10, No. 8; 2017 ies <u>.ccsenet.org</u> International Education Studies <u>Vol.</u> 10, <u>No.</u> 8; 2017 ies <u>.ccsenet.org</u> International Education Studies <u>Vol.</u> 10, No. 8; 2017 ies.ccsenet.org International Education Studies Vol. 10, No. 8; 2017 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28