


# KORESPONDENSI PROSIDING

## ASIAN EDUCATION SYMPOSIUM 2019 (AES 2019)\_HOST UPI BANDUNG

### Students' Revision Behavior in EFL Writing Project Assignment

Mister Gidion Maru, Nihta Liando



The image shows a screenshot of a Gmail inbox. The search bar at the top contains 'AES'. The left sidebar shows the 'Kotak Masuk' (Inbox) with 544 emails. The main content area displays an email from 'AES 2019' (aes2019.automail@upiconf.org) received on 'Sel, 16 Jul 2019 07:35'. The subject is 'AES 2019 : Please Activate Your Account'. The email body contains the following text:

Dear Dr. Mister Gidion Maru,

Your registration to AES 2019 has been completed, please open (copy-paste to browser) the following link to activate your account:

<http://aes2019.upiconf.org/kfz/pages/activate.php?q=5c666ec5714ec465e6426f9134e19c44>

Thank you.  
Best regards,

AES 2019 Organizing Committee  
Website : <http://aes.conference.upi.edu/2019>  
Email : [aes@upi.edu](mailto:aes@upi.edu)

Gmail interface showing an email from AES Conference regarding the decision for submitted abstracts. The email is in Indonesian and includes instructions for presenters to check the final decision, download the letter of invitation (LoI), and make a payment for registration fee before July 23, 2019. It also lists steps for revising the abstract, such as logging in, clicking 'ABSTRACT', and clicking 'EDIT' to revise the abstract. A screenshot of the abstract editing interface is included, showing the 'Edit' button highlighted in red. The abstract ID is AES-09.

**AES 2019\_Decision for submitted abstract** Eksternal Kotak Masuk

**AES Conference** -aes@upi.edu  
 kepada Putra, Ikaening12, Imronisajambi, Indahkhoerunnisa, Indarini, Ikhlan dhaka, laurens, Isuparto, Luckiesjow, Marsurikm32, marey, marianalasan, maxwelwilliams91, mellyyanti, melissawatarandang, mewengkangalfina, meytjrampe, saya, 1  
 Rab, 24 Jul 2019 13:50

Inggris > Indonesia > Terjemahkan pesan Nonaktifkan untuk: Inggris x


Dear Presenters **AES 2019**,

We have reviewed all the abstracts submitted. You might check the **FINAL DECISION** by login in the **AES** website (submission system) via this link: <http://aes.conference.upi.edu/2019/submission/>. The letter of acceptance (LoA) of the abstract and the letter of invitation (LoI) can be downloaded in your **AES** account.

All the presenters, whom the abstract has been accepted, have to make the **PAYMENT FOR REGISTRATION FEE** before July 23, 2019. Please visit our website for more information.

Please be informed that **SOME** accepted abstracts still **NEED a REVISION**. You might check the reviewer comments underneath the abstract (at the column of abstract review result). Please accommodate all the reviewer suggestions. To revise the abstract, you might do the steps as follow:

- 1) Login
- 2) Click **ABSTRACT**
- 3) Click **EDIT**, and then revise the abstract. Please **DO NOT SUBMIT** the revised abstract by clicking a create new abstract button.



Editing abstract

4) Please be informed that some information in the abstract might be missing during the revision process. Therefore, you need to recheck and rewrite the information needed before click save button.

5) Click **SAVE**

Thank You.

Best regards,  
**AES 2019** Committee



# AES 2019

## Conference Management System

Logged in as User

- » [My Profile](#)
- » [Submission Summary](#)
- » [Abstract](#)
- » [My Files](#)
- » [Review Result](#)
- » [Desktop / Mobile](#)
- » [Feedback](#)
- » [Server Time](#)
- » [Contact](#)
- » [Logout](#)

### :: Abstract ::

#### FAQ

**Q:** I would like to submit more than one abstract/manuscript titles, do I have to make more than one accounts?

**A:** No, you just make one account. After you logging in, you can submit more than one titles.

**Q:** Can I edit my abstract later, after I submit it?

**A:** Yes you can. You can edit or delete your abstract after you submit it.

My Abstracts

No	Title
1	<p><b>Presenter name:</b> Mister Gidion Maru <i>The full name which will be printed in certificate, one person only.</i></p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>[Abstract ID: ABS-60]</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>Students' Revision Behavior in EFL Writing Project Assignment</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>Mister Gidion Maru, Nihta Liando</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Universitas Negeri Manado</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>Abstract</b></p> <p>Writing is a result of process involving idea development and language competence. This research paper reports the investigation of the pattern of students' effort of revising a writing project. It constitutes a qualitative study by obtaining the data through conducting in-depth interviews with two classes of advance level students in English Education Department. Prior to the interview, the students complete a writing assignment in the form of one semester project. As respondents, students answer the main of question of the interview dealing with how they did the EFL writing project assignment. The findings reveal that the completion of the project, which undergoes process, requires revision for several times depending upon the allotted time. This revision process portrays students' tendency in revising their writing project such as social media grouping, technology application dependence, in-group dynamics and learning model preference. These findings seem to be pivotal contribution for teachers to design students' project for language learning purpose. <b>(Approx. 156 words)</b></p> <p><b>Keywords:</b> Revision Behavior, Writing Project, EFL</p> <p><b>Topic:</b> Learning / Teaching Methodologies and Assessment</p> <p><b>Type:</b> Oral Presentation</p> <p><b>Info:</b></p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>Created: Wednesday, 17 July 2019 - 01:05:19</i> <i>Last update: Wednesday, 17 July 2019 - 01:05:19</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Upload buttons are available only if the committee opens the access, and are active</p>

Gmail

Search: AES

23 dari 35

**AES 2019\_Full paper\_M Gidion Maru and Nihta Liando** Kotak Masuk x

**Mister Gidion Maru** <mrgidionmaru@unima.ac.id> kepada aes 9 Jan 2020 22.34

Yth Panitia AES 2019

Berikut kami lampirkan full paper kami dalam AES 2019 berjudul **"Students' Revision Behavior in EFL Writing Project Assignment"** penulis: Mister Gidion Maru, Nihta Liando. Terima kasih atas kesempatan yang diberikan untuk memasukan full paper ini.

Salam

Dr Mister Gidion Maru  
Head of English Department  
Coordinator of Research Discussion Forum  
Faculty Of Languages and Art  
Universitas Negeri Manado, Indonesia

2 Lampiran • Dipindai dengan Gmail

Gmail

Search: AES

23 dari 35

**Mister Gidion Maru** <mrgidionmaru@unima.ac.id> kepada M, saya 9 Jan 2020 22.43

3 Lampiran • Dipindai dengan Gmail

Students' Revision Behavior in EFL Writing Project Assignment

Students' Revision Behavior in EFL Writing Project Assignment

STUDFNTS' REVISION

AES 2019\_Fullpap... AES 2019\_Fullpap... similarity test res...

Balas Teruskan

# Students' Revision Behavior in EFL Writing Project Assignment

**Mister Gidion Maru**  
*English Education Department*  
Universitas Negeri Manado  
Manado, Indonesia  
[mrqidionmaru@unima.ac.id](mailto:mrqidionmaru@unima.ac.id)

**Nihta Liando**  
*English Education Department*  
Universitas Negeri Manado  
Manado, Indonesia  
[nihtaliando@unima.ac.id](mailto:nihtaliando@unima.ac.id)

**Abstract:** Writing is a result of process involving idea development and language competence. This research paper reports the investigation of the pattern of students' effort of revising a writing project. It constitutes a qualitative study by obtaining the data through conducting in-depth interviews with two classes of advance level students in English Education Department. Prior to the interview, the students complete a writing assignment in the form of one semester project. As respondents, students answer the main of question of the interview dealing with how they did the EFL writing project assignment. The findings reveal that the completion of the project, which undergoes process, requires revision for several times depending upon the allotted time. This revision process portrays students' tendency in revising their writing project such as social media grouping, technology application dependence, in-group dynamics and learning model preference. These findings seem to be pivotal contribution for teachers to design students' project for language learning purpose.

**Keywords---** *Revision Behavior; Writing Project; Qualitative; Grounded Theory*

## I. INTRODUCTION

Revising step is a vital part of writing process. The quality of writing product essay or paper gains its betterment from doing the revision process. The elaboration of idea as formulation in topic, main idea and synthesis can only be deepened and extended as well as improved through revising [1]. Although writing skill is not new in language class, the demand of the era of revolution industry 4.0 can not be denied to color the practice of writing including the act of revising. Putting this notion into a context, the current rapid development of technology has defined new frontiers for language language teaching [2]. This suggests that revising as a part of writing skill in language teaching undergoes fast change and new challenges. The existing revising practice still applies a common or conventional practice [3] [4] This highlights "the need to dynamically review and research language teaching process and challenge becomes is imperative"[2]. This implies the urgency of having research on the issue of writing skill in English language teaching (ELT). The research aims at improving and correcting the process of writing which further results in increasing the quality of language teaching process and knowledge assessment considering the current academic phenomena that college assignment is mostly viewed from the essay writing on certain knowledge in a certain discipline[5][6] In other words, the improvement of writing process including the revising activity contributes not only for the purpose of improving of student skill but also for improving students' achievement. The revising behavior, in other words, defines the quality of the writing result[7]. It then leads to the assumption that the right practice of revising will determine the quality of writing. Thus the quality of writing product reflects the quality of knowledge. However, Current models of the writing process have directed attention away from revision[8]. That underlines the need to figure out students' revising behavior in order to have inputs on designing a better practice of language especially writing. Within this study, such revision behavior is traced by the working a writing project. The choice of the working project as the object of the study relates to the view that writing project educates "students in terms of using cognitive skill (reading, researching, planning), social skills (cooperating and making decision) and independent learning (taking responsibility)" [9]. This highlights that seeing a writing project may involve various activities and practices including frequency of revisions. Thus, it is challenging to reveal the revision behavior performed by students in completing their project assignment.

## II. METHOD

This study is qualitative in design. The data rely upon the gains from the conduction of in-depth interview with student of advance writing class of college level who are assigned with one semester writing project. There are thirty students involved in the class. They are then distributed into a group of five students. The interviews take place during and after finishing the project. The students are required to answer the main question; “how do you revise your essay assignment”. The follow-up questions are appropriated and adjusted to elaborated and deepen the students’ responses. The result of the interview in the form of transcripts is analyzed by employing the frame of the perspective of Strauss [10][11][12][13] grounded theory which prescribes the synthesizing of the data obtained as emic into findings by following open, selective and axial coding. The citations presented in the analysis are those regarded to be the most relevant and supportive data for finding arguments. For ethical reason the names of the respondents are hidden and marked the initial and number of the attendance list.

### III. RESULTS

Writing project includes working as individuals and groups to brainstorm, draft, revise until a final product was produced [9]. This portrays students’ activity in the completion of the project is remarkably dynamic. Students interact with their peers in responding to the given project. The interactions come in the forms of grouping, discussing and arguing, not to mention disagreeing. The intention to meet the standard required for the output of the writing project that is the collection of essays of different genres such as narrative, descriptive and persuasive colors students’ interactions. Since this study focuses on the revising phase of a writing process, the practices related to the attempts to revise the writing product of the project are seen as the pivotal elements in tracing the students’ behavioral tendencies. They are detected through students’ stories, confessions and experiences as they are interviewed. Having methodologically analyzed those data, it is found that students tend to implement following behaviors tendencies in revising their writing product.

#### A. Relying upon social media grouping

Realizing the importance of revising, students initiate and anticipate the demand for intense contact and discussion for the purpose of revising including grammar accuracy, idea elaboration and technicality aspects. Having the draft finished means the revision required. Since this assignment is given as a group project for a semester time, it suggests that the groups gain more time to carry out the writing process. Each phase is significant. Yet the revising part, besides, determines the quality of the product, it specifically characterizes the need for more discussions. That implies that, as a group project, the working of the assignment requires more discussions than merely an individual task [14]. This need gives place to the advantages of technology development. The most used one is social media grouping. It is detected in the following comments; “As the assignment determined, Nia took the role to set up WA group for further discussion. We used the group for doing and revising the writing project, sometimes we did late at night” (S11, G3). Students manage themselves to involve in revising their writing product by setting up a social media group particularly WhatsApp (WA). The use of WA is due to the reason of its flexibility of interaction and conversation [15], and, easiness of attaching files.

The demand for a quick response toward teacher feedbacks also defines the intense WA group discussion. The student respondent clarified’ “After teacher’s feedback, we usually revised the project by WA discussion, we didnt like to do it in canteen or library, although sometimes we had misunderstanding in arguing the revised part” (S29 G6). The exchange of idea through WA group seems to facilitate as online discussion beyond the limitation of merely a meeting in canteen or library. The group overcomes the hindrance of time and place limitation. The data indicate that the advantage of revising via WA group is the efficiency factor. The feedback can be discussed and responded immediately after having been given. The members do not require to find and decide a meeting point in order to settle the issue of the feedbacks. The works becomes more efficient. Everyone of the group just needs to activate and be active within the group. The participation on the discussion occurs through sharing ideas and replying others’ opinions. Such activities have already fullfil the need to listen to the suggestions of other members and to agree on the solution for the received feedbacks.

However, it may pose a potential of shortcomings in terms of the possibility of arguing among students, in this case, the group members. Communication through texting is likely open for the potential misunderstanding messages and points of revision. A written communication requires more words to clarify ideas due to the lack of gestures, intonation, and context consistency [16]. Despite this potential, students apparently tend to revise their writing product by means of WA group.

#### B. Depending upon technology application

The requirement for a good writing drives students to be aware of using the existing technology applications. The rise of various language application offered online to assist the betterment of a writing including revision processes as identified in the next citations; “Frankly sir, we were still lack of grammatical knowledge..so we tried online application, grammarly,..Grace was good at finding such application, she taught us “(S2, G1) The emergence and offer of application have change the face of students behavior of doing and revise their writing. The expressions above indicate that students turn their dependence upon making use of the writing-related online applications [17], for instance, Grammarly. The students appear to find an exit tunnel from mastering linguistic

skill for the completion their assignment. For instance, the rise of grammatical correction application promises the easiness of revising the accuracy of grammar use and choice of words. Hence, it is not surprising if students do not longer fully count upon consulting with grammar books and references. The application has already tackled students' language obstacles in revising the linguistic mistakes in the writing.

Further, students in accomplishing the project are also assisted by application related to creative works. It is recorded in as follows; "In its revision, our project needed illustration, none of us was able to draw, we browsed for painting application and used it" (S8 G3). The statements reveal that students can also equip their writing project with an illustration as long as they have and install the application; drawing application. It confirms that students' behavior in revising their writing is not only connected with technical accuracy but also with content attributes. This advocates the synthesis of the students' current dependence upon application technology. Apparently almost all of the revising activities can be carried out by applying the linguistic and attributive applications. The technology progress has added more flexible behavior for doing a writing revision. The anxiety of the lack of grammatical and technical competencies in performing the revision in writing has been minimized.

Responding toward the target of the writing project has urged students to revise their work within which they experience the need for relevant technology applications. Their limited or even poor language knowledge is anticipated by the technology sophistication. The application creates dependence and promise accuracy [18]. It seems to be advantage in terms of helping to finish the revision, yet it might also be disadvantage in terms of encouraging students to develop their language skill continuously. Further, it can be synthesized that writing-related application has brought a new frontier for revision behavior among students.

### **C. Undergoing In-Group Dynamic**

Each member of the group is a unique individual; an aspect that influences the enactment of their writing revision. Following the choice of interaction media through Whatsapp (WA) instead of the frequent face to face discussion leads to another revision behavior namely the experience of intense in-group dynamics. It refers to the activity of revising the writing project that allows and requires intensively internal contacts and discussions among members. Amidst this circumstance, students undergoes dynamic of relationship as admitted by student respondent as follow; "Revising our assignment, sometimes, made us upset,..some group member were slow to respond the distributed task, difficult to argue, ..I needed to be patient" (S3, G1). The dynamic may begin from the attitude toward task distribution among member. The distinct response stimulates the emergence of conflict potential. The target of the project defines the proper response to the given task to each member of the group. Therefore, the failure or slowness to react and reply particularly dealing with with answering teachers' feedbacks may cause inconvenience and anger. It "made them upset". This indicates that the tension exists whenever the expectation to cope with the group task is not met. Every individual within a group hopes a maximum role of others. It easily lights the fire among the group member. The intention to complete the project by a quick revision may drive dispute. Fortunately, as asserted by the respondent, they finally realized that they "needed to be patient". That means that the revision process within a group may recall the appearance of the other behavior that is patience

Revision needs patience. In other words, the pursuit of the optimum result of the group project points out the demand for patience for performing the revision. Such behavior helps the member to overcome disagreement as it occurs. This is justified by one of the student responded as follow; "Once we didnt talk for a week because of revision disagreement,..but finally we reconciled for the sake of friendship and grade.."(S15 G3). The disagreement toward points of revision takes place anytime. Members of the group come with distinct reactions toward feedbacks or instructions. It is most likely one of the sources for tension or disagreement within the group. The group dynamic tests students' intellectual maturity in reacting to distinguished opinion. This marks that the revision process may develop to overcome anger and conflict by prioritizing to the attainment of the purpose of working as a group. It is meant by "reconciled for the sake of friendship and grade". The patience leads to the willingness to reconcile and to forgive, then to finish the project. Hence, it can be inferred that in group dynamic proves to encourage the maturity in revising process.

### **D. Implementing independence learning**

The demand to revise for the perfection of the writing product as the output of the given project has also shaped the learning preference among students. As the writing project is not one night or week assignment, it provides students with more time of improving the quality of their writing. Revising can be done several times as long as it obtains feedback from teachers or peers and the time has been due. This situation characterizes the advantage of assigning students with doing a project. The project engages students with more opportunities to develop and grow their interest on certain learning preference [19]. The students adopt and adapt a learning preference in order to deal with the description and criteria of the project product. They recognize the suitable learning mode for them. It is detected in the following assertions; "I like this project,..it gave us freedom to be creative from the beginning , revision and completion, we can do the revision several times in free time and ways..it's independence or autonomous" (S3, G1). These expressions show students' fondness of the learning mode implemented to complete the writing project including the revision stage. As a project, the writing assignment allows more independence learning. Students in their group enjoy responding to the feedbacks and



following the intention to have a qualified writing product. Iosif and Tsiplakides points out, “Because students engage in purposeful communication to complete authentic activities, they have the opportunity to use language in a relatively natural context”[19]. It seems that during the process of working the project students view the opportunity to revise their works as the chance to generate not only their language competence but also, due to the independence of learning, develop their creativity. Further, the combination of the availability of time with freedom in learning as a group serves to be a wide arena for students to experience critical thinking that bridges their creativity to learn and solve a problem. In other words, the revising practices can be multidimensional practices in the writing project. It encourages students to find their own learning mode.

Such circumstance points out the vitality of revising of writing process. Being independent, not to neglect teachers’ feedback and peer’ role, students see the revisions as the time for exploring their creativity. The student respondent admits, “Since we are mostly independent in revising our project becomes a battle of creative ideas, creative way of browsing resources, and creative way to cope with writing problem” (S 17, G4). It is obvious here that revising encourages students to develop and dig out students’ sense of creativity. It occurs since students are given more independence and time. This marks the behavior applied within the group interaction for the completion of the project. It indicates that students define the independent learning as the one that meets their need. Further, it signifies the independent learning provide more spots to critical thinking and creativity. This bridges Iakovos; “argument; in order to stimulate creative thinking, teachers should create the classroom conditions necessary to encourage students to make inferences, to encourage them to think intuitively and spontaneously, and use inquiry-discovery teaching techniques”[20]. Viewing this argument, it seems to be relevant to the practice of writing project assignment within which students experience such conditions. Students independently learn to infer, to critically think and to solve problem in the process of accomplishing their writing project.

#### IV. CONCLUSION

A good writing is a result of a process. Within it, a revision stage is a pivotal. Doing a writing project which is marked by potentially frequent revisions, students apparently implement several revising behavioral tendencies such as relying upon social media grouping, depending upon technology application, undergoing In-Group Dynamic and Implementing independence learning. These tendencies do not only reveal the contribution of revision toward the quality of writing product but also confirm the emergence of a particular revision behavior among students especially dealing with a group work of a project. It further emphasizes the vitality of doing revision and suggests the possibility to maintain and even encourage more project works in the curriculum.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors are grateful for Fundamental Grant Scheme from Directorate of Research and Community Service (DRPM) Kemenristekdikti and LPPM UNIMA for funding this research. The gratitude also goes to the committee of AES 2019 for making this publication possible.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] G. Troia, *Innovation Configuration Evidence-Based Practices for Writing Instruction*, IC-5., no. Ic. Michigan: CEEDAR CENTER, 2014.
- [2] E. J. Kim, “How Does Focus on Form Affect the Revising Processes of ESL Writers?: Two Case Studies,” *J. Lang. Teach. Res.*, vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 1–11, 2014.
- [3] Ies, *Teaching Secondary Students to Write Effectively*. USA: ies National Center for Education Evaluation and Regional Assistance, US Department of Education, 2017.
- [4] S. Ahmed, “Authentic ELT Materials in the Language Classroom : An Overview,” *J. Appl. Linguist. Lang. Res.*, vol. 4, no. April, pp. 181–202, 2017.
- [5] C. J. Coffin, M. J. Curry, A. Hewings, T. Lilis, and J. Swann, *Teaching Academic Writing : A Toolkit for Higher Education*, no. January. Londion: Routledge, Taylor and Francis Group, 2003.
- [6] L. Bahrami, H. R. Dowlatabadi, H. Yazdani, and M. Amerian, “Authorial Stance in Academic Writing: Issues and Implications for Research in English Language Teaching,” *Int. J. English Lang. Transl. Stud.*, vol. 06, no. 02, pp. 69–80, 2013.
- [7] R. Razali and R. Jupri, “Exploring Teacher Written Feedback and Student Revisions on ESL Students ’ Exploring Teacher Written Feedback and Student Revisions on ESL Students ’ Writing,” *IOSR J. Humanit. Soc. Sci.*, vol. 19, no. September, pp. 63–70, 2016.
- [8] N. Sommers, “Revision Strategies of Student Writers and Experienced Adult Writers,” *Coll. Compos. Commun.*, vol. 31, no. 4, pp. 378–388, 2010.
- [9] S. H. Habulembe, “A Classroom Response to HIV/AIDS— Project Proposal Writing,” *English Teach. Forum*, vol. 1, 2007.
- [10] and C. J. Strauss, Anselm, *Dasar-Dasar Penelitian Kualitatif*. Yogyakarta: Pustaka Pelajar., 2009.

- [11] A. Strauss, "15 Methods of Data Analysis in Qualitative Research," *Analysis*, vol. 3, no. 3, pp. 1–32, 2004.
- [12] R. A. Rashid, K. Yunus, S. Omar, Z. A. Halim, and N. M. Rouyan, "Grounded theory methodology in second language learning research," *Man India*, vol. 96, no. December, pp. 4681–4688, 2016.

# STUDENTS' REVISION BEHAVIOR IN EFL WRITING PROJECT ASSIGNMENT

by Mister Maru

Submission date: 09-Jan-2020 10:14PM (UTC+0700)

Submission ID: 1240340363

File name: fullpaper\_AES\_2019.doc (97.5K)

Word count: 3571

Character count: 19660

## STUDENTS' REVISION BEHAVIOR IN EFL WRITING PROJECT ASSIGNMENT

Mister Gideon Maru  
English Education Department  
Universitas Negeri Mataram  
Mataram, Indonesia  
[m.gideon@unma.ac.id](mailto:m.gideon@unma.ac.id)

Nita Lisnadi  
English Education Department  
Universitas Negeri Mataram  
Mataram, Indonesia  
[nita.lisnadi@unma.ac.id](mailto:nita.lisnadi@unma.ac.id)

**ABSTRACT:** Writing is a result of process involving idea development and language competence. This research paper reports the investigation of the pattern of students' effort of revising a writing project. It constitutes a qualitative study by obtaining the data through conducting in-depth interviews with two classes of advance level students in English Education Department. Prior to the interview, the students complete a writing assignment in the form of one semester project. As respondents, students answer the main of question of the interview dealing with how they did the EFL writing project assignment. The findings reveal that the completion of the project, which undergoes process, requires revision for several times depending upon the allotted time. This revision process portrays students' tendency in revising their writing project such as social media grouping, technology application dependence, in-group dynamics and learning model preference. These findings seem to be pivotal contribution for teachers to design students' project for language learning purpose.

**Keywords:** Revision Behavior; Writing Project; Qualitative; Grounded Theory

### INTRODUCTION

Revising step is a vital part of writing process. The quality of writing product essay or paper gains its betterment from doing the revision process. The elaboration of idea as formulation in topic, main idea and synthesis can only be deepened and extended as well as improved through revising [1]. Although writing skill is not new in language class, the demand of the era of revolution industry 4.0 can not be denied to color the practice of writing including the act of revising. Putting this notion into a context, the current rapid development of technology has defined new frontiers for language language teaching [2]. This suggests that revising as a part of writing skill in language teaching undergoes fast change and new challenges. The existing revising practice still applies a common or conventional practice [3] [4] This

highlights "the need to dynamically review and research language teaching process and challenge becomes is imperative"[1]. This implies the urgency of having research on the issue of writing skill in English language teaching (ELT). The research aims at improving and correcting the process of writing which further results in increasing the quality of language teaching process and knowledge assessment considering the current academic phenomena that college assignment is mostly viewed from the essay writing on certain knowledge in a certain discipline[5][6]. In other words, the improvement of writing process including the revising activity contributes not only for the purpose of improving of student skill but also for improving students' achievement. The revising behavior, in other words, defines the quality of the writing result[7]. It then leads to the assumption that the right practice of revising will determine the quality of writing. Thus the quality of writing product reflects the quality of knowledge. However, **Current models of the writing process have directed attention away from revision**[8]. This underlines the need to figure out students' revising behavior in order to have inputs on designing a better practice of language especially writing. Within this study, each revision behavior is traced by the working a writing project. The choice of the working project as the object of the study relates to the view that writing project educates "students in terms of using cognitive skill (reading, researching, planning), social skills (cooperating and making decision) and independent learning (taking responsibility)" [9]. This highlights that writing a writing project may involve various activities and practices including frequency of revisions. Thus, it is challenging to reveal the revision behavior performed by students in completing their project assignment.

#### Method

This study is qualitative in paradigm. The data rely upon the gains from the conduct of in-depth interview with student of advance writing class of college level who are assigned with one semester writing project. There are thirty students involved in the class. They are then

distributed into a group of five students. The interviews take place during and after finishing the project. The students are required to answer the main question, "how do you revise your essay assignment". The follow-up questions are appropriated and adjusted to elaborate and deepen the students' responses. The results of the interview in the form of transcripts is analyzed by employing the frame of the perspective of Strauss [10][11][12][13] grounded theory which prescribes the synthesizing of the data obtained as *emic* into findings by following open, selective and axial coding. The citations presented in the analysis are those regarded to be the most relevant and supportive data for finding arguments. For ethical reason the names of the respondents are hidden and marked by initial and number of the attendance list.

#### Result

Writing project includes working as individuals and groups to brainstorm, draft, revise until a final product was produced[9]. This portrays students' activity in the completion of the project is remarkably dynamic. Students interact with their peers in responding to the given project. The interactions come in the form of grouping, discussing and arguing, not to mention disagreeing. The intention to meet the standard required for the output of the writing project that is the collection of essays of different genres such as narrative, descriptive and persuasive colors students' interactions. Since this study focuses on the writing phase of a writing process, the practices related to the attempts to revise the writing product of the project are seen as the pivotal elements in tracing the students' behavioral tendencies. They are detected through students' stories, confessions and experiences as they are interviewed. Having methodologically analyzed these data, it is found that students tend to implement following behaviors tendencies in revising their writing

Realizing the importance of revising, students initiate and anticipate the demand for intense contact and discussion for the purpose of revising including grammar accuracy, idea elaboration and technicality aspects. Having the draft finished means the revision required. Since this assignment is given as a group project for a semester time, it suggests that the groups gain more time to carry out the writing process. Each phase is significant. Yet the revising part, besides, determines the quality of the product, it specifically characterizes the need for more discussions. That implies that, as a group project, the working of the assignment requires more discussions than merely an individual task [14]. This need gives place to the advantages of technology development. The most used one is social media grouping. It is detected in the following comments: "As the assignment determined, Nia took the role to set up WA group for further discussion. We used the group for doing and revising the writing project, sometimes we did late at night" (S11, G3). Students manage themselves to involve in revising their writing product by setting up a social media group particularly WhatsApp (WA). The use of WA is due to the reasons of its flexibility of interaction and conversation [15], and, easiness of attaching files.

The demand for a quick response toward teacher feedbacks also defines the intense WA group discussion. The student respondent clarified: "After teacher's feedback, we usually revised the project by WA discussion, we didn't like to do it in campus or library, although sometimes we had misunderstanding in arguing the revised part" (S29 G9). The exchange of idea through WA group seems to facilitate an online discussion beyond the limitation of merely a meeting in campus or library. The group overcomes the hindrance of time and place limitation. The data indicate that the advantage of revising via WA group is the efficiency factor. The feedback can be discussed and responded immediately after having been given. The members do not require to find and decide a meeting point in order to settle the issue of the feedbacks. The works becomes more efficient. Everyone of the group just

needs to activate and be active within the group. The participation on the discussion occurs through sharing ideas and replying others' opinions. Such activities have already fulfill the need to listen to the suggestions of other members and to agree on the solution for the received feedbacks.

However, it may pose a potential of shortcomings in terms of the possibility of arguing among students, in this case, the group members. Communication through texting is likely open for the potential misunderstanding messages and points of revision. A written communication requires more words to clarify ideas due to the lack of gestures, intonation, and context consistency [16]. Despite this potential, students apparently tend to revise their writing product by means of WA group.

## 2. Depending upon technology application

The requirement for a good writing drives students to be aware of using the existing technology applications. The rise of various language application offered online to assist the betterment of a writing including revision processes as identified in the next citations: "Finally ah, we were still lack of grammatical knowledge, so we tried online application, grammarly...Grace was good at finding such application, she taught us" (S2, G1). The emergence and offer of application have change the face of students behavior of doing and revise their writing. The expressions above indicate that students turn their dependence upon making use of the writing-related online applications [17], for instance, Grammarly. The students appear to find an exit tunnel from mastering linguistic skill for the completion their assignment. For instance, the rise of grammatical correction application promotes the easiness of revising the accuracy of grammar use and choice of words. Hence, it is not surprising if students do not longer fully count upon consulting with grammar books and references. The application has already tackled students' language obstacles in revising the linguistic mistakes in the writing.

Further, students in accomplishing the project are also assisted by application related to creative works. It is recorded in as follows, "In its revision, our project needed illustration, none of us was able to draw, we turned to painting application and used it" (S8 G3). The statements reveal that students can also equip their writing project with an illustration as long as they have and install the application, drawing application. It confirms that students' behavior in revising their writing is not only connected with technical accuracy but also with content attributes. This advocates the synthesis of the students' current dependence upon application technology. Apparently almost all of the revising activities can be carried out by applying the linguistic and artistic applications. The technology progress has added more flexible behavior for doing a writing revision. The anxiety of the lack of grammatical and technical competencies in performing the revision in writing has been minimized.

Responding toward the target of the writing project has urged students to revise their work within which they experience the need for relevant technology applications. Their limited or even poor language knowledge is anticipated by the technology sophistication. The application creates dependence and promise accuracy (18). It seems to be advantage in terms of helping to finish the revision, yet it might also be disadvantage in terms of encouraging students to develop their language skill continuously. Further, it can be synthesized that writing-related application has brought a new frontier for revision behavior among students.

##### 5. Undergoing In-Group Dynamic

Each member of the group is a unique individual, an aspect that influences the enactment of their writing revision. Following the choice of interaction media through Whatsapp (WA) instead of the frequent face to face discussion leads to another revision behavior namely the experience of intense in-group dynamics. It refers to the activity of revising the writing project that allows and requires intensively internal contacts and discussions among

members. Amidst this circumstance, students undergoes dynamic of relationship as admitted by student respondent as follow; "Revising our assignment, sometimes, made us upset...some group member were slow to respond the distributed task, difficult to argue...I needed to be patient" (S5, G1). The dynamic may begin from the attitude toward task distribution among member. The distinct response stimulates the emergence of conflict potential. The target of the project defines the proper response to the given task to each member of the group. Therefore, the failure or slowness to react and reply particularly dealing with with answering teachers' feedbacks may cause inconvenience and anger. It "made them upset". This indicates that the tension exists whenever the expectation to cope with the group task is not met. Every individual within a group hopes a maximum rate of others. It easily lights the fire among the group member. The intention to complete the project by a quick revision may drive dispute. Fortunately, as asserted by the respondent, they finally realized that they "needed to be patient". That means that the revision process within a group may recall the appearance of the other behavior that is patience

Revision needs patience. In other words, the pursuit of the optimum result of the group project points out the demand for patience for performing the revision. Such behavior helps the member to overcome disappointment as it occurs. This is justified by one of the student responded as follow; "Once we didn't talk for a week because of revision disagreement, but finally we reconciled for the sake of friendship and grade,"(S15 G1). The disagreement toward points of revision takes place anytime. Members of the group come with distinct reactions toward feedbacks or instructions. It is most likely one of the sources for tension or disagreement within the group. The group dynamic tests students' intellectual maturity in reacting to distinguished opinion. This marks that the revision process may develop to overcome anger and conflict by prioritizing to the attainment of the purpose of working as a group. It is meant by "reconciled for the sake of friendship and grade". The

patience leads to the willingness to reconcile and to forgive, then to finish the project. Hence, it can be inferred that in group dynamic proves to encourage the maturity in revising process.

#### 4. Implementing independence learning

The demand to revise for the perfection of the writing product as the output of the given project has also shaped the learning preference among students. As the writing project is not one night or week assignment, it provides students with more time of improving the quality of their writing. Revising can be done several times as long as it obtains feedback from teachers or peers and the time has been due. This situation characterizes the advantage of assigning students with doing a project. The project engages students with more opportunities to develop and grow their interest on certain learning preference [19]. The students adopt and adapt a learning preference in order to deal with the description and criteria of the project product. They recognize the suitable learning mode for them. It is detected in the following assertions; "I like this project, it gave us freedom to be creative from the beginning , revision and completion, we can do the revision several times in free time and ways, it's independence or autonomous" (S1, G1). These expressions show students' fondness of the learning mode implemented to complete the writing project including the revision stage. As a project, the writing assignment allows more independence learning. Students in their group enjoy responding to the feedbacks and following the intention to have a qualified writing product. Isif and Triplahita points out, "Because students engage in purposeful communication to complete authentic activities, they have the opportunity to use language in a relatively natural context" [19]. It seems that during the process of working the project students view the opportunity to revise their works as the chance to generate not only their language competence but also, due to the independence of learning, develop their creativity. Further, the combination of the availability of time with freedom in learning as a group serves to be a wide arena for students to experience critical

thinking that bridges their creativity to learn and solve a problem. In other words, the revising practices can be multidimensional practices in the writing project. It encourages students to find their own learning mode.

Such circumstance points out the vitality of revising of writing process. Being independent, not to neglect teachers' feedback and peer' role, students see the revisions as the time for exploring their creativity. The student respondent admits, "Since we are mostly independent in revising our project becomes a battle of creative ideas, creative way of browsing resources, and creative way to cope with writing problem" (S 13, G4). It is obvious here that revising encourages students to develop and dig out students' sense of creativity. It occurs since students are given more independence and time. This marks the behavior applied within the group interaction for the completion of the project. It indicates that students define the independent learning as the one that meets their need. Further, it signifies the independent learning provide more spots to critical thinking and creativity. This bridges likewise; "argument: in order to stimulate creative thinking, teachers should create the classroom conditions necessary to encourage students to make inferences, to encourage them to think intuitively and spontaneously, and use inquiry-discovery teaching techniques" [20]. Viewing this argument, it seems to be relevant to the practice of writing project assignment within which students experience such conditions. Students independently learn to infer, to critically think and to solve problem in the process of accomplishing their writing project.

#### Conclusion

A good writing is a result of a process. Within it, a revision stage is a pivotal. Doing a writing

---

toward the quality of writing product but also confirms the emergence of a particular revision behavior among students especially dealing with a group work of a project. It further emphasizes the vitality of doing revision and suggests the possibility to maintain and even encourage more project works in the curriculum."

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors are grateful for Fundamental Grant Scheme from Directorate of Research and Community Service (DRPS) Kemendikbud and LPPM USMA for funding this research. The gratitude also goes to the committee of AES 2019 for making this publication possible.

#### REFERENCE

- [1] G. Trish, *Assessment Configuration Evidence-Based Practices for Writing Instruction*, KC-5, no. 3c, Michigan: CEEDAR CENTER, 2014.
- [2] E. J. Kim, "How Does Focus on Form Affect the Revising Processes of ESL Writers?: Two Case Studies," *J. Lang. Teach. Res.*, vol. 5, no. 3, pp. 1-11, 2014.
- [3] *Yes, Teaching Secondary Students to Write Effectively*, USA: ies National Center for Education Evaluation and Regional Assistance, US Department of Education, 2017.
- [4] S. Ahmed, "Authentic ELT Materials in the Language Classroom: An Overview," *J. Appl. Linguist. Lang. Res.*, vol. 4, no. April, pp. 181-202, 2017.
- [5] C. J. Coffin, M. J. Curry, A. Hewings, T. Lillis, and J. Swain, *Teaching Academic Writing: A Guide for Higher Education*, no. January, London: Routledge, Taylor and Francis Group, 2003.
- [6] L. Bohrent, H. R. Dewlatabadi, H. Yardeni, and M. Amerian, "Authentic Stance in Academic Writing: Issues and Implications for Research in English Language Teaching," *Int. J. English Lang. Teach. Stud.*, vol. 06, no. 02, pp. 69-80, 2013.
- [7] K. Rasidi and R. Ajri, "Exploring Teacher Written Feedback and Student Revisions

---

---

on ESL Students." *Exploring Teacher Written Feedback and Student Revisions on ESL Students' Writing*, *IOSR J. Humanit. Soc. Sci.*, vol. 19, no. September, pp. 63-70, 2016.

- [8] N. Seminars, "Revision Strategies of Student Writers and Experienced Adult Writers," *Coll. Compos. Commun.*, vol. 31, no. 4, pp. 375-385, 2000.
- [9] S. H. Habubeh, "A Classroom Response to HIV/AIDS— Project Proposal Writing," *English Teach. Forum*, vol. 1, 2007.
- [10] and C. J. Strauss, *Analytic, Dasar-Dasar Penelitian Kualitatif*, Yogyakarta: Pustaka Pelajar, 2009.
- [11] A. Strauss, "15 Methods of Data Analysis in Qualitative Research," *Analyst*, vol. 3, no. 3, pp. 1-32, 2004.
- [12] K. A. Rasidi, K. Yama, S. Omar, Z. A. Halim, and N. M. Rosyan, "Grounded theory methodology in second language learning research," *Asia J. Educ.*, vol. 96, no. December, pp. 4601-4608, 2016.
- [13] S. A. Raemjan, "A Putative Evaluation Scheme for Critical Appraisal of ELT Paper," *English Lang. Teach.*, vol. 3, no. 3, pp. 1-18, 2016.
- [14] A. Burke, "Group Work: How to Use Groups Effectively," *J. Q. Teach.*, vol. 31, no. 2, pp. 83-85, 2011.
- [15] A. M. Gusyemch, "University Students' use of Whatsapp and their Perceptions Regarding its Possible Integration into their Education," *Glob. J. Comput. Sci. Technol. G J Comput. Sci.*, vol. 17, no. 1, pp. 1-10, 2017.
- [16] K. Prabavathi and P. C. Nagarathnam, "Effective oral and written communication," *J. Appl. Adv. Res.*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 29-32, 2018.
- [17] Y. Sang, K. Chang, and T. Liu, "The effects of integrating mobile devices with teaching and learning on students' learning performance : A meta-analysis and

---

---

research synthesis," *Comput. Educ.*, vol. 94, pp. 252-275, 2016.

- [18] N. Hockly, "Developments in online language learning," *ELF J.*, no. May 2013, pp. 1-6, 2016.



## STUDENTS' REVISION BEHAVIOR IN EFL WRITING PROJECT ASSIGNMENT

### ORIGINALITY REPORT

<b>3%</b>	<b>3%</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>3%</b>
SIMILARITY INDEX	INTERNET SOURCES	PUBLICATIONS	STUDENT PAPERS

### PRIMARY SOURCES

<b>1</b>	<a href="http://www.ijhssnet.com">www.ijhssnet.com</a> Internet Source	<b>1%</b>
<b>2</b>	<a href="http://repository.unika.ac.id">repository.unika.ac.id</a> Internet Source	<b>1%</b>
<b>3</b>	<a href="http://ijsses.org">ijsses.org</a> Internet Source	<b>1%</b>
<b>4</b>	<a href="http://is.muni.cz">is.muni.cz</a> Internet Source	<b>&lt;1%</b>

Exclude quotes  Off  
Exclude bibliography  On

Exclude matches  Off

Series: *Advances in Social Science, Education and Humanities Research*

## Proceedings of the 4th Asian Education Symposium (AES 2019)

[<](#) PREVIOUS ARTICLE IN VOLUME

NEXT ARTICLE IN VOLUME [>](#)

### Students' Revision Behavior in EFL Writing Project Assignment

**Authors**

Mister Gidion Maru, Nihta Liando

**Corresponding Author**

Mister Gidion Maru

Available Online 15 May 2020.

**DOI**

<https://doi.org/10.2991/assehr.k.200513.004> [How to use a DOI?](#)

**Keywords**

revision behavior, writing project, qualitative, grounded theory

**Abstract**

Writing is a result of a process involving idea development and language competence. This study reports the investigation of the pattern of students' effort of revising a writing project. This qualitative study used in-depth interviews to collect the data from two classes of advanced level students in the English Education Department. Before the interview, students completed a writing assignment in the form of a one-semester project. They were then interviewed on how they did the writing project assignment. The findings reveal that the completion of the project, which undergoes the process, requires revision several times depending upon the allotted time. This revision process portrays students' tendency to revising their writing projects such as social media grouping, technology application dependence, in-group dynamics, and learning model preference. These findings seem to be a pivotal contribution for teachers to design students' projects for language learning purposes.

**Copyright**

© 2020, the Authors. Published by Atlantis Press.

**Open Access**

This is an open access article distributed under the CC BY-NC license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>).

[Download article \(PDF\)](#)

[<](#) PREVIOUS ARTICLE IN VOLUME

NEXT ARTICLE IN VOLUME [>](#)

<b>Volume Title</b>	Proceedings of the 4th Asian Education Symposium (AES 2019)
<b>Series</b>	Advances in Social Science, Education and Humanities Research
<b>Publication Date</b>	15 May 2020
<b>ISBN</b>	978-94-6252-966-3
<b>ISSN</b>	2352-5398
<b>DOI</b>	<a href="https://doi.org/10.2991/assehr.k.200513.004">https://doi.org/10.2991/assehr.k.200513.004</a> <a href="#">How to use a DOI?</a>
<b>Copyright</b>	© 2020, the Authors. Published by Atlantis Press.
<b>Open Access</b>	This is an open access article distributed under the CC BY-NC license ( <a href="http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/">http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/</a> ).

## Students' Revision Behavior in EFL Writing Project Assignment

Mitar Galvin Maris\*, Nikita Lianah

English Education Department  
Universitas Negeri Manado  
Manado, Indonesia

\*mitargalvinmaris@unima.ac.id, nikitalianah@unima.ac.id

**Abstract**—Writing is a result of a process involving idea development and language competence. This study reports the investigation of the pattern of students' effort of revising a writing project. This qualitative study used in-depth interviews to collect the data from two classes of advanced level students in the English Education Department. Before the interview, students completed a writing assignment in the form of a one-semester project. They were then interviewed on how they did the writing project assignment. The findings reveal that the completion of the project, which undergoes the process, requires revising several times depending upon the allotted time. This revising process involves students' tendency to revise their writing projects such as social media grouping, technology application dependence, in-group dynamics, and learning model preference. These findings seem to be a pivotal contribution for teachers to design students' projects for language learning purposes.

**Keywords**—revision behavior, writing project, qualitative grounded theory

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Writing step is a vital part of the writing process. The quality of writing product essay or paper gains its improvement from doing the revision process. The elaboration of the idea as the formulation in the topic, main idea and synthesis can only be developed and expanded as well as improved through revising [1]. Although writing skill is not new in the language class, the demand of the era of revolution industry 4.0 cannot be denied to alter the practice of writing including the act of revising. Putting this notion into a context, the current rapid development of technology has defined new frontiers for language teaching [2]. This suggests that revising as a part of writing skills in language teaching undergoes fast change and new challenges. The existing revising practice still applies a constructivist or constructivist practice [3,4]. This highlights "the need to dynamically review and research language teaching process and challenge becomes an imperative" [2]. This implies the urgency of having research on the issue of writing skills in English language teaching (ELT). The research aims at improving and correcting the process of writing which further results in increasing the quality of language teaching process and knowledge assessment considering the current academic phenomenon that college assignment is mostly viewed from the

essay writing on certain knowledge in a certain discipline [5,6]. In other words, the improvement of the writing process including the revising activity contributes not only to improve of student skill but also for improving students' achievement. The revising behavior, in other words, defines the quality of the writing result [7]. It then leads to the assumption that the right practice of revising will determine the quality of writing. Thus the quality of writing products reflects the quality of knowledge. However, current models of the writing process have directed attention away from revision [8]. This indicates the need to figure out students' revising behavior to have input on designing a better practice of language especially writing. Within this study, such revision behavior is traced by the writing a writing project. The choice of the writing project as the object of the study relates to the view that writing project education "students in terms of using a cognitive skill (reading, searching, planning), social skills (negotiating and making a decision) and independent learning (taking responsibility)" [9]. This highlights that writing a writing project may involve various activities and practices including the frequency of revisions. Thus, it is challenging to reveal the revision behavior performed by students in completing their project assignment.

### 2. METHODS

This study is qualitative in design. The data were collected using in-depth interviews with the college students of advance writing classes who are assigned with a one-semester writing project. There were thirty students involved in the class and distributed into a group of five students. The interviews take place during and after finishing the project. The students are required to answer the main question, "how do you revise your essay assignment". The follow-up questions are appropriated and adjusted to elaborated and deepen the students' responses. The method of interview in the form of transcripts is analyzed by employing the frame of the perspective of Strauss [10–12] grounded theory which provides the synthesizing of the data obtained as units into findings by following open, selective and axial coding. The categories generated in the analysis are those regarded to be the most relevant and supportive data for finding arguments. For the ethical reason, the names of the respondents are hidden and marked the initial and number of the attendance list.

Copyright © 2021 The Author. Published by Atlantis Press SARL. This is an open access article distributed under the CC BY-NC 4.0 license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>). 33

### 3. RESULTS

The writing project includes working as individuals and groups to brainstorm, draft, write and a final product was produced [9]. This process students' activity in the completion of the project is remarkably dynamic. Students interact with their peers in responding to the given project. The interactions come in the form of grouping, discussing and signing out to monitor progress. This interaction is mostly the standard request for the output of the writing project that is the submission of essays of different genres such as narrative, descriptive and persuasive where students' interactions. Since this study focuses on the revising phase of a writing process, the practices related to the attempt to revise the writing product of the project are seen as the pivotal elements in tracing the students' behavioral tendencies. They are detected through students' claims, confessions, and experiences as they are interviewed. Having methodologically analyzed these data, it is found that students tend to implement the following behaviors tendencies in revising their writing product.

#### A. Relying Upon Social Media Grouping

Realizing the importance of revising, students initiate and anticipate the demand for intense contact and discussion to revise including grammar accuracy, idea elaboration, and technicality aspects. Having the skill finalized means the revision is required. Since this assignment is given as a group project for a semester time, it suggests that the groups gain more time to carry out the writing process. Each phase is significant. Yet the revising part, besides, determines the quality of the product, it specifically characterizes the need for more discussion. That implies that, as a group project, the working of the assignment requires more discussions than merely an individual task [14]. This need gives place to the advantage of technology development. The most used one is social media grouping. It is detailed in the following comments: "As the assignment demanded, we took the role to set up WA group for further discussion. We used the group for doing and revising the writing project, sometimes we did late at night" (S11, G1). Students manage themselves to involve in revising their writing product by setting up a social media group particularly WhatsApp (WA). The use of WA is due to the reason for its flexibility of interaction and conversation [15], and ease of attaching files.

The demand for a quick response toward teacher feedback also defines the intense WA group discussion. The student respondent clarified "After teacher's feedback, we usually revised the project by WA discussion, we didn't like to do it in class or library, although sometimes we had a misunderstanding in seeing the revised part" (S29, G6). The exchange of ideas through the WA group seems to facilitate as online discussions beyond the limits of merely a meeting in the context of library. The group overcomes the limitation of time and place limitation. The data indicate that the advantage of revising via the WA group is the efficiency factor. The feedback can be discussed and responded immediately after having been given. This means also not require to find and decide a meeting point to settle the issue of the feedbacks. The words became more efficient. Every one of the group just

meets to activate and be active within the group. Participation in the discussion occurs through sharing ideas and applying to others' opinions. Such activities have already fulfilled the need to listen to the suggestions of other members and to agree on the solution for the received feedbacks.

However, it may pose a potential of shortcomings in terms of the possibility of arguing among students, in this case, the process of revising. Comments from the students are open for the potential misunderstanding messages and points of revision. Written communication requires more words to clarify ideas due to the lack of gestures, intonation, and context consistency [16]. Despite this potential, students tend to revise their writing products using WA group.

#### B. Depending Upon Technology Application

The requirement for good writing drives students to be aware of using existing technology applications. The rise of various language applications offered online to assist the behavior of writing including revision processes as identified in the next citations: "Frankly so, we were still lack of grammatical knowledge. So we tried the online application, Grammarly, Grammarly was good at finding such applications, the insight is 'X2, G1). The emergence and effect of applications have changed the face of students' behavior of doing and revising their writing. The experiences above indicate that students have their dependence upon making use of the existing online applications [17], for instance, Grammarly. The students appear to find an exit tunnel from mastering a linguistic skill for the complete their assignment. For instance, the use of grammatical correction applications promotes the accuracy of revising the accuracy of grammar use and choice of words. Hence, it is not surprising if students do not longer fully aware upon consulting with grammar books and references. The application has already tackled students' language obstacles in revising the linguistic mistakes in the writing.

Further, students in accomplishing the project are also assisted by applications related to creative words. It is revealed as an follows: "In the revision, our project needed illustrations, one of us was able to share, we learned for painting applications and used it" (S9, G3). The statements reveal that students can also equip their writing project with an illustration as long as they have and install the applications, drawing applications. It can be seen that students' behavior in revising their writing is not only connected with technical accuracy but also with content attributes. This advances the efficiency of the students' current dependence upon application technology. Almost all of the revising activities can be carried out by applying linguistic and creative applications. The technology project has added more flexibility behavior for doing a writing revision. The scarcity of the lack of grammatical and technical competence in performing the revision in writing has been minimized.

Expanding toward the target of the writing project has urged students to revise their work within which they experience the need for relevant technology applications. Their limited or even poor language knowledge is anticipated by technological application. The application creates

dependence and positive autonomy [20]. It seems to be an advantage in terms of helping to finish the revision, yet it might also be a disadvantage in terms of encouraging students to develop their language skills continuously. Further, it can be hypothesized that writing-related application has brought a new feature for revision behavior among students.

C. Understanding In-Group Dynamics

Each member of the group is a unique individual, an aspect that influences the enactment of their writing activities. Following the choice of interactive media through WhatsApp (WA) instead of the frequent face-to-face discussion leads to another revision behavior namely the experience of intense in-group dynamics. It refers to the activity of revising the writing project that allows and requires intensive internal contacts and discussion among members. Amidst this circumstance, students undergo dynamic of relationship as admitted by student respondent as follows: "Revising our assignment, sometimes, made us upset, some group member were slow to respond for distribution task, difficult to argue, I needed to be patient" (S5, G5). The dynamic may begin from the attitude toward task distribution among members. The distinct response stimulates the emergence of conflict potential. The target of the project defines the proper response to the given task to each member of the group. Therefore, the failure or distress to react and reply particularly in dealing with answering teachers' feedbacks may cause miscommunication and anger. It "made them upset". This indicates that the tension exists whenever the expectation to cope with the group task is not met. Every individual within a group hopes a maximum role of others. It easily lights the fire among the group members. The intention to complete the project by a quick revision may drive disputes. Eventually, as asserted by the respondents, they finally realized that they "needed to be patient". That means that the revision process within a group may entail the appearance of the other behavior that is patience.

Revision needs patience. In other words, the pursuit of the optimum result of the group project points out the demand for patience for performing the revision. Such behavior helps the members to overcome disappointment as it occurs. This is justified by one of the students who responded as follows: "Once we didn't talk for a week because of revision disappointment, but finally we reconciled for the sake of friendship and grade" (S15, G3). The disappointment toward points of revision takes place anytime. Members of the group come with distinct reactions toward feedbacks or instructions. It is most likely one of the reasons for tension or disappointment within the group. The group dynamic tests students' intellectual maturity in reaching to dispassionate opinion. This marks that the revision process may develop to overcome anger and conflict by prioritizing to the attainment of the purpose of working as a group. It is meant by "reconciled for the sake of friendship and grade". The patience leads to the willingness to reconcile and to forgive, thus to finish the project. Hence, it can be inferred that the group dynamic proves to encourage maturity in the revision process.

D. Implementing Independent Learning

The demand to revise for the perfection of the writing product as the output of the group project has also shaped the learning performance among students. As the writing project is not one night or week assignment, it provides students with more time of improving the quality of their writing. Revising can be done several times as long as it obtains feedback from teachers or peers and the time has been due. This situation characterizes the advantage of assigning students with doing a project. The project engages students with more opportunities to develop and gain their interest in certain learning performance [26]. The students adopt and adapt a learning performance to deal with the description and criteria of the project product. They recognize the suitable learning needs for them. It is detected in the following assertion: "I like this project, it gave us the freedom to be creative from the beginning, revision, and completion, we can revise several times in less time and ways, it's independent as autonomous" (S3, G1). These expressions show students' freedom of the learning mode implemented to complete the writing project including the revision stage. As a project, the writing assignment allows more independent learning. Students in their group enjoy responding to the feedbacks and following the intention to have a qualified writing product. Iqbal and Topikidula point out, "Because students engage in purposeful communication to complete authentic activities, they have the opportunity to use language in a naturally natural context" [19]. It means that during the process of working the project, students view the opportunity to revise their works as the chance to generate not only their language competence but also, due to the independence of learning, develop their creativity. Further, the combination of the availability of time with freedom in learning as a group serves to be a wide arena for students to experience critical thinking that bridges their creativity to learn and solve a problem. In other words, revision practices can be considered as a natural practice in the writing project. It encourages students to find their learning mode.

Such circumstance points out the vitality of revising of the writing process. Being independent, not to neglect teachers' feedback and peer' role, students use the revision as the form for exploring their creativity. The student respondent admits, "Since we are mostly independent in revising our project because a battle of creative ideas, creative way of learning resources, and creative way to cope with a writing problem" (S 17, G4). It is obvious here that revising encourages students to develop and dig out students' sense of creativity. It means since students are given more independence and time. This marks the behavior applied within the group interaction for the completion of the project. It indicates that students define independent learning as the one that meets their needs. Further, it signifies the independent learning provides more spots to critical thinking and creativity. This bridges likewise, "argument to stimulate creative thinking; teachers should create the classroom conditions necessary to encourage students to make inferences, to encourage them to think creatively and spontaneously and use inquiry-discovery teaching techniques" [28]. Viewing this argument, it seems to

be relevant to the practice of writing project assignments within which students experience such conditions. Students independently learn to talk, to critically think and to solve the problems in the process of accomplishing their writing project.

IV. CONCLUSION

Good writing is a result of a process. Within it, a revision stage is pivotal. Doing a writing project which is marked by periodically frequent revisions, students implement several revising behavioral tendencies such as relying upon social media grouping, depending upon technology application, undergoing In-Group Dynamics and implementing independent learning. These tendencies do not only reveal the contribution of revision toward the quality of writing product but also confirm the emergence of a particular revision behavior among students especially dealing with a group work of a project. It further emphasizes the vitality of revising and suggests the possibility to maintain and even encourage more project works in the construction.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors are grateful for the Fundamental Grant Scheme from Directorate of Research and Community Service (DRCPM), Kementerian Pendidikan and LPPM UNINDIA for funding this research. The gratitude also goes to the committee of AES 2019 for making this publication possible.

REFERENCES

[1] G. Tunc, *Innovation Configuration Evidence-Based Decision for Writing Instruction*, R. J., no. 16, Michigan CED-Ed CENTER, 2014.

[2] E. J. Kim, "How Does Focus on Form Affect the Revising Process of EFL Writers?" *The Case Studies*, *J. Lang. Teach. Res.*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 1-11, 2014.

[3] Int. Teaching Secondary Students to Write Effectively, USA, no. National Center for Education Evaluation and Regional Assistance, US Department of Education, 2007.

[4] S. Akinci, "Autonomy, SLT Materials in the Language Classroom: An Overview," *J. Appl. Foreign Lang. Res.*, vol. 4, no. April, pp. 181-202, 2017.

[5] C. J. Collins, M. J. Gony, A. Hastings, T. Lilo, and J. Brown, *Teaching Academic Writing: A Toolkit for Higher Education*, no. Emerald, London: Burlington, Taylor and Francis Group, 2001.

[6] S. Rahmani, H. R. Ghobadipour, K. Vardast, and M. Asgari, "Industrial Status in Academic Writing: Issues and Implications for Research in English Language Teaching," *Int. J. English Lang. Teach. Stud.*, vol. 06, no. 02, pp. 01-05, 2013.

[7] R. Burch and K. Jupp, "Engaging Teacher Written Feedback and Student Revisions on EFL Students' English Teacher Written Feedback and Student Revisions on EFL Students' Writing," *ESOL: J. Research Soc. Sci.*, vol. 19, no. September, pp. 61-70, 2016.

[8] S. Asgari, "Revision Strategies of Student Writers and Dependent Adult Writers," *Coll. Compos. Commun.*, vol. 31, no. 4, pp. 379-390, 2010.

[9] S. E. Hahnel, "A Classroom Response to IELTS® - Super Personal Writing," *English Teach. Forum*, vol. 1, 2007.

[10] J. Collins and C. J. Brown, *Assess, Share, Create: Practical Research-Based Vocabulary Books*, 2009.

[11] A. Brown, "10 Methods of Data Analysis in Qualitative Research," *Analysis*, vol. 3, no. 3, pp. 1-12, 2003.

[12] R. A. Baidin, K. Yasin, S. Omer, Z. A. Hady, and N. M. Saqan, "Classical Story Anthropology in Second Language Learning Context," *Malakda*, vol. 9, no. December, pp. 4091-4098, 2016.

[13] S. A. Rampton, "A Positive Evaluation Evidence for Critical Appraisal of IELTS Paper," *English Lang. Teach.*, vol. 3, no. 3, pp. 1-14, 2016.

[14] A. Stefan, "Group Work, How to Use Groups Effectively," *J. Eff. Teach.*, vol. 11, no. 2, pp. 17-19, 2011.

[15] A. M. Guseynov, "University Students' use of WhatsApp and their Perceptions Regarding its Possible Integration into their Education," *Studia Universitatis Babeş-Bolyai: Informatica*, vol. 57, no. 1, pp. 1-16, 2017.

[16] R. Pollock and P. C. Sugawarantorn, "Effective and not written communication," *J. Appl. Adv. Res.*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 29-32, 2018.

[17] Y. Sang, K. Chang, and T. Liu, "The effects of integrating mobile devices with teaching and learning on students' learning performance: a meta-analysis and research synthesis," *Comput. Educ.*, vol. 94, pp. 242-270, 2014.

[18] N. Hardly, "Development in online language learning," *IELT J.*, no. May 2014, pp. 1-4, 2014.

[19] S. Pappas and Z. Topikidula, "Project-Based Learning in the Teaching of English as a Foreign Language in Greek Primary Education: From Theory to Practice," *English Lang. Teach.*, vol. 2, no. 3, pp. 113-119, 2009.

[20] T. Akarova, "Critical and Creative Thinking in the English Language Classroom," *Int. J. Research Soc. Sci.*, vol. 7, no. 9, pp. 82-96, 2011.

## AES 2019 Conference Management System

### Logged in as User

- » [My Profile](#)
- » [Submission Summary](#)
- » [Abstract](#)
- » [My Files](#)
- » [Review Result](#)
- » [Desktop / Mobile](#)
- » [Feedback](#)
- » [Server Time](#)
- » [Contact](#)
- » [Logout](#)

### :: My Files ::

If you have just uploaded a new file, please make sure that it is listed in the table below.

To upload new files, click "Abstract" on the top menu, upload buttons are located below your abstract. Upload buttons are available only if the committee opens the access, and are active only if the status of your abstract is "Accepted". New files will replace older ones (if any).

No	Title
1	<b>[ABS-60 FULL_PAPER] Students' Revision Behavior in EFL Writing Project Assignment</b> <i>Mister Gidion Maru, Nihta Liando</i>  <b>Server time</b> : Tuesday, 14 January 2020 - 10:50:58 <b>File</b> : <a href="#">full_paper</a> (File ID 220, application/msword: 62.5 kb) <b>Topic</b> : Learning / Teaching Methodologies and Assessment <b>Info</b> :
2	<b>[ABS-60 PAYMENT_PROOF] Students' Revision Behavior in EFL Writing Project Assignment</b> <i>Mister Gidion Maru, Nihta Liando</i>  <b>Server time</b> : Thursday, 9 January 2020 - 22:59:34 <b>File</b> : <a href="#">payment_proof</a> (File ID 195, application/pdf: 54.6 kb) <b>Topic</b> : Learning / Teaching Methodologies and Assessment <b>Paid amount</b> : IDR 2,750,000 ( <b>Make sure that it is correct</b> ) <b>Payment date</b> : 2019.08.14 ( <b>Make sure that it is correct</b> ) <b>Info</b> : lunas termasuk publication fee